

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/608,172 | 06/27/2003 | Jan Chipchase | 852.0100.U1(US) | 8235 |
| 29683 HARRINGTO | 7590 01/28/200 N & SMITH, PC | EXAMINER | | |
| 4 RESEARCH | DRIVE, Suite 202 | RHEE, JANE J | | |
| SHELTON, C | 1 06484-6212 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1795 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/28/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/608,172 | CHIPCHASE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| JANE RHEE | 1795 | |

| | JAINE RITEE | 1795 | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I |). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | iled within two months | of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | appeal. Since | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to | | | cause | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | E below); | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying tr | ne issues for | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reis | ected claims | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding named or initially reju | otod oldiirio. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mnliant Amendment (| PTOL -324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | inplication of the (i | I OL OL+). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.] | | be entered and an ex | planation of | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12 and 22-25. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-12 and 22-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | To the states of the stands after of | in y is below or andom | Ju. | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | |
| | /Jane Rhee/ | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1795 | | | | |
| | i illiary Examiner, Art O | 1111 17 00 | | | | |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicants argument that there is no motivation to combine Hockaday and Praft. Praft teaches a data interface configured to receive data from bobile electronic device for the purpose of providing the fuel consumption rate and energy conversion efficiency in order to provide a more fuel efficient device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time applicants' sinch on was made to provide, Hockaday with a data interface configured to receive data from the mobile electronic device in order to provide fuel consumption rate and energy conversion efficienty thus providing a more fuel efficient device.